

Egbewole Z. T^{1*}, Rotowa O.J.², Alo A. A.², Ojo A.S.¹, Oluwasanmi T. D.¹, Enenche J. A. ¹Oluwaseesin, M. B.¹

¹Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Ecotourism, Nasarawa State University Keffi, ShabuLafia, Nigeria

²Department of Forest Resources Management, University of Ibadan

*Corresponding Author: Egbewole Z. T, 1Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Ecotourism, Nasarawa State University Keffi, ShabuLafia, Nigeria. Email: tundeegbe@gmail.com, tundeegbe@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to evaluate the Use of Forest Inventory in Estimating Illegally Felled Trees of Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve. Seven (7)plots were randomly selected from different area of the whole plantation. Perimeter measurement for each plot were; Permanent Sample Plot 1 (PSP1) to (PSP3) and Temporary Sample Plot 4 (TSP4) to Temporary Sample Plot 7(TSP7) with size 30m by 30m each totaling 0.63ha. Six (6) mean trees in respect of girth class and height class and 1 plus tree were identified and the stumps of felled trees were measured in each of the 7 plots. The study was laid out in a 7 x6 x 6 factorial experiment in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with a total of 252 treatment combinations in order to facilitate the interpretation of the main and the evolving interaction effect. Treatments were analyzed with respect to 7 Sample plots, 6 girth classes and 6 height classes. It was observed that a total of 640 Teak stand and 250 stumps of felled trees on 7 plots of size 30m by 30m each totaling 0.63ha. The average tree height was 14.56m, average merchantable height was 11.56m, total basal area (BA) for the 7plots was 48.15m² and the mean BA was 0.08m². Total tree Volume (using Newton's fomular) was 147.69m³ and the mean volume/tree was 0.23m³. The average stump girth (SG) was 30.54cm, 0.09m² Stump Basal area, the estimated mean tree height was 14.34m while the average Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) was 0.62m³. The Total Stump Basal area for the whole 250 felled tree stumps was 21.44m² on the 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to 34.03m²/ha while the Total Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) was $154.18m^3$ on 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to $244.73m^3/ha$. Based on 'International prices for teak: Historical and current, and price forecasts' the World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at 1221.31USD/m³ at N355/USD, this will amount to (N433565.1/m³ x 244.73m³/ha x 161.28ha) the sum of N17,112,838,083.00 equivalent to a total loss of about (48,205,177.70USD) of felled Teakat 2018 year ending. Comparing all the models tried in this study using the fit statistics, model2: ESV = -0.26 - 1.71BD +11.38BAs + 0.03MeanTHpEq23.With basal diameter (BD), Basal area and mean Tree Height as the independent variables which had ($R^2 = 99.80$, SEE = 0.02, with a negative intercept of -0.26) is the most appropriate prediction model. For predicting tree stump volume of Teak in Agudu Forest Reserve, the 5 ranked models are considered fit because they meet the basic requirement of a good fit model having negative intercept whereas the rest model with positive intercept may be discarded. The stand volume equations, which incorporated various tree growth variables, will enhance future yield prediction of the trees in the study areas since they provide quantitative basis for estimating stand growth parameters. It is believed that these models and volume prediction equations will enhance sound and informed management decisions and conservation measures for the remaining Tectonagrandis stands.

Keywords: Inventory, Estimating, Illegal Tree Felling, Prediction Equations, Forest Reserve

INTRODUCTION

The forest reserve in Nigeria is estimated to cover about 10 million hectares which accounts for more than 10% of land area, of approximately 96.2 million hectares; 923,768

km square with a population of about 170,790 in 2006 (National Directorate of Employment, 2012). Ikuomola *et al.*, (2016) observed that, in recent times the area marked as forest lands have been decreasing steadily due to the indiscriminate felling of trees and activities of

illegal loggers which have continued in virtually every part of the country. For instance, the Department of Forestry Federal (2010)estimated that Nigerian forests are being depleted at an annual rate of 3.5%. Nigeria used to have about 20% of its area covered with natural forests but, this has been reduced to about 10%. It lost about 60% of its natural forests to agricultural encroachment, excessive logging and urbanization between the 1960s and the year 2000 (FAO 2001; SFM Tropics. 2005). Ikuomola et al., (2016) opined that industrial and social development which competes for the same pieces of land upon which the forest stands has not been commendable.

The need to adequately quantify the rate of forest depletion across Nigeria annually necessities the use of forest inventory as an appropriate tool and procedure for obtaining information on the quantity and quality of forest resources and many of the characteristics of the land area upon which the treesare growing. Husch et al, (2003)simply defined Forest inventory as taking the stock of the forest. A forest inventory determines the locations of timber and estimates its quantity by species, product potential, size, quality, or other characteristics. An inventory may be conducted for land acquisition or sale, a timber sale, or other objectives (Avery and Burkhart, 2002). Forest Inventory also deals with measurement of trees and seeds, the estimation of their volume, the prediction of growth and sampling problems involved inits design. Necessary information such as total forest area or volume on a national or regional basis, the description of the topography of the area, the ownership pattern, accessibility and transportation facilities, growth and drainage creation facilities are provided by a complete forest inventory (Grosenbaugh, 1952). Without forest mensuration, adequate and proper research is highly impossible Afuwape et al,. (2007).

It is worthy of note that timber harvesting and general forest depletion across Nigeria is done through indiscriminate and illegal timber harvesting annually probably owing to inconsistent government policies and lack of proper monitoring of human activities as it relates to forest management, this therefore calls for a need to beam a searchlight on illegal timber harvesting as it relates to forest reserves as the case is in Agudu hence the need to evaluate the use of Forest Inventory in Estimating Illegally Felled Trees of

Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve, Nasarawa State. Illegal felling refers to what in forestry is called timber theft, it can also refer to the harvesting, transportation, purchase or sale of timber in violation of law. The harvesting procedure itself may be illegal, including using corrupt means to gain access to forests; extraction without permission or from a protected area. The cutting of protected species or the extraction of timber in excess of agreed limits Ola-A dams, (1983). Illegal felling is the lead cause of degradation of the world's forests. includes the harvesting, transporting, It processing, buying and selling of timbers in violation of national laws. According to Patterson et al., (2006), some examples include. Trees are harvested from protected areas and then traded illegally, trees are extracted at volumes significantly higher than are permitted and licenses to cut down trees are falsified.

As a result of its large land area, the country covers different and favorable climatic and ecological zones. The size and its diverse population coupled with the socio-political and economic challenges have put much pressure on the forest belts as increasing number of unemployed vouths have come to realize that there are opportunities in looting Forest products for survival(Ola-A dams, 1983; Patterson et al., 2006). Thus unemployment as one of the developmental challenges in Nigeria wide ranging negative impacts, on has environmental crime, which is often treated as a low-priority crime in most developing countries, with the belief that the forest belongs to everyone in the community (Mason et al., 2012; South and Wyatt 2011). The impressively fast urbanization process experienced in Nigeria together with the increasing rate of unemployment, persistent poverty, inequality, inadequacy of social services, the consolidation of trans-national crime organizations, the wide spread drug use arid drug trafficking, ill equipped security officials and forest guards to combat illegal logging and lumbering cartel cum clandestine markets and saw malls for rare forest products, have led a lot of youths to seeking for opportunities in forest businesses (Pretty et al., 2013).Illegal logging, lumbering and sawmilling can be described as a system and as a system with various persons and institutions involved in the supply and demand needs of the industry, be it legitimate or illegitimate. It is a common fact that human activities do have either negative or positive

on

effects

the growth rate and yield of a forest estate since, measuration facilitates forest the sense and idea of knowing the progress in a forest as regards the growth and yield of forest crops according to Avery and Burkhart, 2002. It is of note that indigenous agro-forestry practices are not new in Agudu community just like any other agrarian communities in Nigeria because thev offer potentials for sustainable development (Baumer, 1990). Therefore, it is importance to assess he peculiarities of human agro-forestry activities in and around Agudu forest estate so as to ascertain the effect of human activities of agrarian communities in Agudu as a follow up to Egbewole and Alao, 2013. Hence, the reason for assessing the Use of Forest Inventory in Estimating illegally felled trees in Agudu forest reserve and develop volume models for Tectonagrandis plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria, with a view to provide information for in forestry for stakeholders sustainable management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The inventory was carried out in Teakplantation (*Tectonagrandis*) established between 1981-1982 in Lafia Local Government Area, Nasarawa State, located between latitude 08°N and longitude 09°E by March 2018. The total area covered by the *Tectonagrandis* plantation was 161.28ha (Fig.1). The original Teak trees were harvested for export between 1995 and 1998 now left with the coppices that lived through the "survival of the fittest" which are today being harvested and sold either as transmission poles or thinning by the Nasarawa

State Government and private individuals (Alao, 2009). Egbewole and Alao, (2013) observed that large proportion of the forest estate has been encroached by various human activities of the neighboring villagers who have converted parts of the Reserve to agricultural and nonagricultural purposes. Lafia Lacal Government Area is located in the Guinea Savannah zone of North Central Nigeria at an altitude of about 177m above the sea level. The mean monthly maximum and minimum temperature range is between 35.06° C to 36.40° C and 20.16° C to 20.50°C respectively while the mean monthly relative humidity and rainfall are 74.67% and 168.90mm respectively (Jayeoba, 2013). Agudu Village that host Agudu Forest Reserve shares common boundary with Obi Local Government in Nasarawa State. The area is predominantly inhabited by Koro (Migili), Alago, Gwandara and other tribes like Eggon, Hausa, and Fulani. The loamy soil and clay with some areas swampy and water logged, used as Fadama. The climate is characterized by warm and humid with two seasons namely dry and wet seasons. The raining season start from April through November and dry season start from part of November through March. Agriculture is the main stay of Agudu Villagers. The vast land couple with good quality soil which makes it possible for about 80-90% of the inhabitants to engage in food and cash crop production, Egbewole and Alao, (2013). The major crops produced in the areas are cassava, yam, millet, beans, maize and groundnut. The local Government Areas has a total population of about 103,253 people and land area of about 599km² (National population census, 1991.



Fig1. Map of Nigeria showing the North Central States with focus on Agudu Forest Reserve, Obi LGA of Nasarawa State

DATA COLLECTION

Seven plots were randomly selected from different area of the whole plantation. Perimeter measurement for each plot were; Permanent Sample Plot 1 (PSP1) to (PSP3) and Temporary Sample Plot 4 (TSP4) to Temporary Sample Plot 7(TSP7) with size 30m by 30m each totaling 0.63ha. Six (6) mean trees in respect of girth class and height class and 1 plus tree were identified and stumps of felled trees were measured in each of the 7plots and Georeferenced using Global positioning system using (eTrex H) to measure their elevation (m) and their bearings (Table 1, Plate 1,2, 3, 5 & 6).

The study was laid out in a 7 x 6 x 6 factorial experiment in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with a total of 252 treatment combinations in order to facilitate the interpretation of the main and the evolving interaction effect. Treatments were analyzed with respect to 7 Sample plots, 6 girth classes and 6 height classes. Parameters measured included: Plots perimeter and area, Total height, Merchantable height, Girth at base, Diameter at base, Diameter at breast height, Girth at breast height, form factor, form quotient, stump count, stump height, stump girth.



| | | | a. | |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| | | Sample Size (Standing trees) | Stumps | Observation/plot description |
| Plots | | | | |
| | Permanent sample plot 1 | 117 | 46 | Near farmland |
| | Permanent sample plot 2 | 99 | 42 | Near farmland |
| | Temporary sample plot 3 | 76 | 29 | Near farmland |
| | Temporary sample plot 4 | 85 | 26 | Close to waterlogged area |
| | Temporary sample plot 5 | 105 | 20 | Near farmland |
| | Temporary sample plot 6 | 65 | 33 | Near farmland |
| | Temporary sample plot 7 | 93 | 54 | Near farmland |
| | Total | 640 | 250 | |
| | Girth class | | | |
| | <30cm | 51 | 4 | Recessive class |
| | 31-50cm | 73 | 29 | Middle class |
| | 51-70cm | 82 | 87 | Middle class |
| | 71-90cm | 53 | 100 | Dominant class |
| | 91-110 | 20 | 27 | Dominant class |
| | > 111cm | 13 | 3 | Dominant class |
| | Total | 640 | 250 | |
| | Height class | | | |
| | <3m | - | - | Recessive class |
| | 3.01-5m | 6 | - | Middle class |
| | 5.01-10m | 34 | - | Middle class |
| | 10.01-15m | 66 | - | Dominant class |
| | 15.01-20m | 160 | - | Dominant class |
| | > 20.01m | 26 | - | Dominant class |
| | Total | 640 | | |

 Table1. 30mx 30m Sample Plot Layout of Teak plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve

Basal area of a cylinder is measured in terms of radius. The basal area of the tree is not the same with that of a cylinder. Thus, the basal area of a tree is measured in terms of diameter.

Basal Area of a cylinder $=\pi r^2 h$ (1)

Basal Area of a tree $= \pi d^2/4$ (2)

Where: $\pi = \text{pie} = 3.142$, d = diameter at breast height, h = height

Stem Volume was estimated using the Newton's formula as adopted by Egbewole *et al*,. (2011): Egbewole, 2017 expressed thus:

$$V = h/6 (Ab + 4Am + At)$$
(3)

Ab, Am and, At = tree cross-sectional area at the base, middle and top of merchantable height, respectively (m^2) and h = total height (m). Following the computation of tree basal area and volumes, the data were summarized by computing simple descriptive statistics for each growth variable. This study was laid in a 7 x 6 x 6 factorial experiment in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with a total of 252 treatment combinations in order to facilitate the interpretation of the main and the evolving interaction effect. Treatments were analyzed

with respect to 7 Sample plots, 6 girth classes and 6 height classes (Table 1). Analysis of variance was performed on the data to show the comparative performance of each treatment with others, where significant difference was found, Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was applied for mean separation and in locating where the significant differences occurred among treatment means of selected variables as prescribed by Akindele, (2004); Adesoye, (2004).

In attempt to achieve the major objective of this study which aimed to evaluate the use of Forest Inventory in Estimating Illegally Felled Trees of Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve, Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. A set of 130 structured questionnaire and interview schedule was drawn and administered to respondents living in and around Agudu Forest Reserve to obtain information on their educational status, occupation, income status, reasons and purposes for cutting Teak trees and timber sizes mostly preferred. Both descriptive statistics were used such as frequency, percentage, charts and 4 points Likert Scale Ranking. Seven plots randomly selected from different area of the whole plantation. Stumps of felled trees were identified, marked, tagged and measured in each of the 7plots as laid out in Table 1. The stumps were classified in 6 girth classes while the mean height of trees within

each girth class was used to estimate the of volumes stumps in each girth class.Correlation and Regression analysis Correlation coefficient (r) was used to investigate the strength and direction of association between the selected variables such as; Total height, Merchantable height, Crown height, Clear bole height, Diameter at breast height and Diameter at the base. While Volume prediction model Equations ware developed for modeling the volume growth of Agudu Forest Reserve. Volume model developed bv Schumacher and Hall (1933) was used for modeling process in this study. However, several forms of this model, using height with the introduction of other variable was considered and tried for Tectonagrandis. This is because height is the major determinant variable if stem volume of tree is considered Schumacher and Hall, (1933). Consequently, the generalized logarithmic model consisting of height and diameter at the middle as the independent variable was the most appropriate for the species in the study area. The coefficient of determination (R²) and standard error (SEE) of estimation, mean square error (MSE) was also determined to know the proportion of variation explained by the regression equation. The model was therefore selected for the modeling of Tectonagrandis plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. The model in its original form is expressed as:

LogV = bo + b1Log(MH) + b2 Log(Dbh) (4)

Where: V = tree volume (Dependent variable, Newton's volume) (m3) Dbh = diameter at breast height (cm); MH = merchantable height (m); b0, b1 and b2 are the regression parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sample trees planted in a 3x3 spaceman were systematically numbered for easy enumeration. Six (6) mean trees in respect of girth classes, 6 mean trees in respect of height class and 1 plus tree were identified in each of the 7 plots. It was observed that a total of 640 Teak stand and 250 stumps of felled trees on 7plots of size 30m by 30m each totaling 0.63ha. Permanent Sample Plot 1 (PSP1) had 117 Teak stand and 46 stumps of felled trees, Permanent Sample Plot 2 (PSP2) had 99trees and 42 stumps, Permanent Sample Plot 3 (PSP3) with 76trees, 29 stumps. While Temporary Sample Plot 4 (PSP4) had 85 tress and 26 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 5 (PSP5) had 105 tress and 20 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 6 (PSP6) had 65 tress and 33 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 7 (PSP7) had 93 tress and 26 stumps of felled trees (Table 1). The result of the tree distribution among the girth classes revealed that Girth class of <30cm had 51trees, 73 trees belong to 31-50cm girth class, 51- 70cm had 82 trees, 71-90cm had 53 trees, 91-110cm had 20tress, While the remaining 13 trees belonged to Girth class above > 111cm. There was no tree observed in height class of <3m, 3.01-5m had 6trees, 5.01-10m had 34 trees, 10.01-15m had 66trees, 15.01-20m had 160 and height class of >20.01m had 26trees (Table 1).

Estimated Tree Stand Volume of Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve

The result revealed that the average Girth at breast height (Gbh) was 62.91cm, TSP 6 had the highest Gbh of 73.92cm while PSP 2 had the least Gbh of 54.82cm. The highest Gbh of 126.44cm was observed in >111cm girth class. While the least Gbh of 23.29cm was observed in <30cm Girth class. The mean Diameter at breast height (Dbh) was 0.15m, 0.10m Diameter at middle and 0.07m Diameter at top. The mean Form quotient (Fq)was 0.73 while the mean tree Form factor (FF) was 0.41, PSP 3 had the highest mean tree Form factor (FF) of 0.43 while TSP 6 had the least FF of 0.31(Table 2). The 0.41 mean Form factor (FF) observed in the Agudu Forest Reserve is on the low side based on Averyand Burkhart (2002), it is an indication of poor tree bole formation probably resulting from poor plantation management which might have led to about 41% bole quality. The result revealed that, the average tree height was 14.56m, PSP 3 had the highest mean Height of 16.96m while the least height of 11.91m was observed in TSP 7. Tree height as influenced by Girth class showed that, the highest tree height of 17.20m was observed in >111cm Girth class while the least mean height of 10.81m was observed in <30cm Girth class. The result also revealed that, the average merchantable height PSP 3 had the highest tree was 11.56m. merchantable height of 13.54m while the least merchantable height of 8.39m was observed in TSP 6. The tree total basal area (BA) for the 7 plots was $48.15m^2$ and the mean BA was 0.08m². Total crown area (CA) for the 7plots was $75394.97m^2$ and the mean CA was 117.80m². Total tree Volume (using Newton's fomular) for the 7plots was 147.69m³ and the mean volume was $0.23m^3$, PSP 1 had the

highest mean volume of 0.27m³, while the least mean volume of 0.16m³ was observed in TSP 7(Table 3). Since the Estimated tree Volume (ETvol) was 147.69m³ on 0.63ha.sampled plots, this will amount to 234.43m³/ha.Based on 'International prices for teak: Historical and Current, and Price Forecasts' by Guillermo *et* *al*,. (2009), the World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at 1221.31USD/m³ at N355/USD, this will amount to (N433,565.1 /m³x234.43 m³/ ha x 161.28ha) the sum of N16,392,606,676.00 equivalent to a total value of about (46,176,357USD) of standing trees of *Tectonagrandis* at 2018 year ending.

Table2. *Mean value of variable measured at different parts of the tree bole on the bases of sample plot, girth class and diameter class.*

| Sample plot | Sample | Girth at base | Diameter at | Diameter | Diameterat | Form | Form |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | size(N) | (cm) | Breast height | at middle | top(m) | factor | quotient |
| | | | (m) | (m) | | | |
| Permanent sample plot 1 (PSP 1) | 117 | 61.23±34.06c | 0.14±0.08a | 0.11±0.06ab | 0.08±0.05a | 0.41±0.12a | 0.78±0.13a |
| Permanent sample plot 2 (PSP 2) | 99 | 54.82±25.11a | 0.13±0.07a | 0.10±0.05a | 0.07±0.04a | 0.43±0.11a | 0.77±0.08a |
| Temporary sample plot 3 (TSP 3) | 76 | 58.55±22.95b | 0.15±0.07b | 0.11±0.05b | 0.08±0.03b | 0.48±0.14b | 0.76±0.10a |
| Temporary sample plot 4 (TSP 4) | 85 | 66.76±29.44b | 0.16±0.07a | 0.12±0.06a | 0.09±0.04a | 0.45±0.12ab | 0.78±0.12a |
| Temporary sample plot 5(TSP 5) | 105 | 60.74±24.88c | 0.14±0.06b | 0.11±0.05bc | 0.08±0.04bc | 0.44±0.34ab | 0.76±0.01a |
| Temporary sample plot 6 (TSP 6) | 65 | 73.92±26.23a | 0.16±0.06a | 0.09±0.03d | 0.05±0.02d | 0.31±0.11c | 0.61±0.16b |
| Temporary sample plot 7 (TSP 7) | 93 | 68.40±24.84b | 0.15±0.06a | 0.09±0.04d | 0.05±0.02d | 0.32±0.11c | 0.63±0.14b |
| Grand mean | 640 | 62.91±27.82 | 0.15±0.07 | 0.10±0.05 | 0.07 ± 0.04 | 0.41±0.18 | 0.73±0.13 |
| Girth class | | | | | | | |
| <30cm | 51 | 23.29±4.53a | 0.06±0.02a | $0.05 \pm 0.02a$ | 0.04±0.02a | $0.50\pm0.40b$ | $0.81 \pm 0.11b$ |
| 31 - 50cm | 73 | 40.90±5.83b | 0.10±0.03b | $0.07 \pm 0.02b$ | $0.05 \pm 0.02b$ | $0.41\pm0.14b$ | 0.75±0.12ab |
| 51 - 70cm | 82 | 61.34±6.31c | 0.15±0.04c | 0.11±0.03c | 0.07±0.03c | $0.40 \pm 0.13b$ | 0.72±0.13ab |
| 71 - 90cm | 53 | 80.77±6.00d | 0.20±0.04d | $0.14 \pm 0.04d$ | $0.10 \pm 0.04d$ | $0.40 \pm 0.12b$ | 0.71±0.15ab |
| 91 - 110cm | 20 | 99.30±5.26e | 0.23±0.04e | 0.16±0.05e | 0.11±0.05e | $0.37 \pm 0.11b$ | 0.69±0.14a |
| >111cm | 13 | 126.44±17.82f | 0.23±0.07e | 0.16±0.05e | 0.11±0.05e | 0.31±0.08a | 0.71±0.14ab |
| Grand mean | 640 | 62.91±27.82 | 0.15±0.07 | 0.10±0.05 | 0.07±0.04 | 0.41±0.19 | 0.73±0.14 |
| Height class | | | | | | | |
| <3m | | 25.33±3.51 | 0.06±0.01 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.49 ± 0.14 | 0.84 ± 0.05 |
| 3.01 – 5m | 6 | 41.25±23.00a | 0.08±0.06a | 0.06±0.04a | 0.04±0.02a | 0.36±0.15ab | 0.77±0.13a |
| 5.01 – 10m | 34 | 36.27±16.59a | 0.08±0.05a | 0.06±0.04a | 0.04±0.03a | 0.41±0.42a | 0.76±0.13a |
| 10.01 – 15m | 66 | 60.24±25.52b | 0.14±0.06b | $0.09 \pm 0.04 b$ | 0.06±0.03b | 0.37±0.13ab | 0.70±0.15a |
| 15.01 – 20m | 160 | 73.38±25.92c | 0.18±0.06c | 0.13±0.05c | 0.10±0.04c | 0.44±0.12b | 0.77±0.11a |
| >20.01m | 26 | 84.96±29.45d | 0.21±0.05d | $0.15 \pm 0.04d$ | 0.11±0.04d | $0.44 \pm 0.10b$ | $0.74{\pm}0.08a$ |
| Grand mean | 640 | 62.91±27.82 | 0.15±0.07 | 0.10±0.05 | 0.07±0.04 | 0.41±0.19 | 0.73±0.14 |

Note: Figure with the same alphabet in the same column are not significant different from each other. P > 0.05

Table3. Mean value of variable measured at different part of the tree on the bases of sample plot, Girth classes and height classes.

| Sample plot | Sample | Total | Merchantable | Clear bole | Basal area | Crown area | Humber | Newtons |
|-------------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|
| | Size(N) | Height(m) | Height (m) | Height (m) | (m ²) | (m ²) | volume(m3) | volume(m3) |
| (PSP 1) | 117 | 15.73±4.40b | 11.87±2.20a | 7.88±2.44b | 0.08±0.09c | 157.33±76.61a | 0.20±0.21b | 0.27±0.28b |
| (PSP 2) | 99 | 15.04±4.85a | 12.81±1.65b | 4.81±2.31a | $0.06 \pm 0.06e$ | $178.95{\pm}100.22b$ | 0.17±0.16a | 0.21±0.21a |
| (TSP 3) | 76 | 16.96±3.60c | 13.54±1.63c | 13.54±1.63c | 0.06±0.05e | 251.00±134.33c | 0.22±0.19b | 0.26±0.20b |
| (TSP 4) | 85 | 14.98±2.87c | 12.38±1.81bc | 11.76±2.55a | $0.08 \pm 0.07 b$ | 129.15±88.57d | 0.24±0.22a | 0.21±0.27a |
| (TSP 5) | 105 | 14.09±2.04d | 12.34±1.65bc | 10.91±1.78b | $0.07 \pm 0.06d$ | 43.73±19.31e | 0.17±0.18c | 0.22±0.22c |
| (TSP 6) | 65 | 12.87±1.71e | 8.39±1.99e | 8.45±1.77c | 0.01±0.06a | 22.34±9.43f | 0.10±0.08d | 0.18±0.12d |
| (TSP 7) | 93 | 11.91±2.13f | 9.06±1.81d | 8.85±1.72c | $0.08 \pm 0.06b$ | 34.12±27.68ef | 0.10±0.09d | 0.16±0.13d |
| Grand mean | 640 | 14.56±3.70 | 11.37±2.57 | 8.16±3.32 | 0.08±0.07 | 117.80±108.51 | 0.17±0.18 | 0.23±0.22 |

| Girth cla | ass | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| <30cm | 51 | 10.81±3.60a | 11.50±3.31a | 5.67±2.99a | 0.01 ± 0.003 | 93.04±75.30a | 0.02±0.03a | 0.03±0.02a |
| 31- 50cm | 73 | 12.87±3.36b | 10.85±3.02a | 6.97±2.95a | 0.03±0.01 | 97.92±78.54a | 0.06±0.05b | 0.07±0.04b |
| 51- 70cm | 82 | 15.29±3.21c | 10.98±2.54a | 8.16±2.81b | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 127.06±112.77b | 0.15±0.11c | 0.20±0.10c |
| 71- 90cm | 53 | 16.17±2.79d | 11.54±2.47a | 9.15±3.08b | 0.10±0.02 | 144.22±143.81c | 0.27±0.16d | 0.35±0.14d |
| 91-110cm | 20 | 16.52±2.77d | 11.83±2.47a | 10.65±2.83c | 0.16±0.02 | 122.12±105.64b | 0.37±0.22e | 0.50±0.20e |
| >111cm | 13 | 17.20±2.76d | 12.17±2.35a | 10.74±3.58d | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 128.00±98.27bc | 0.42±0.28f | 0.69±0.32f |
| Grand mean | 640 | 14.56±3.70 | 11.37±2.57 | 8.16±3.32 | 0.08±0.07 | 117.80 ± 108.51 | 0.17 ± 0.18 | 0.23±0.22 |
| Height class | | | | | | | | |
| <3m | | 6.93±3.58 | - | 4.70 ± 2.25 | 0.01 ± 0.003 | 18.23 ± 7.08 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.01 |
| 3.01– 5m | 6 | 7.91±3.33a | $7.90{\pm}1.45$ | 5.98±2.87a | 0.04 ± 0.04 | 28.88±16.39a | 0.04±0.07a | 0.06±0.09a |
| 5.01–10m | 34 | 8.93±1.85b | 7.74±4.23 | 6.01±2.49b | 0.03±0.03 | 79.05±70.44b | 0.04±0.06a | 0.05±0.06a |
| 10.01–15m | 66 | 13.06±1.50c | 9.43±1.99a | 8.59±2.84b | 0.07 ± 0.06 | 68.29±67.78c | 0.10±0.10b | 0.15±0.13b |
| 15.01–20m | 160 | 17.92±1.62d | 12.61±1.80b | 8.58±3.81c | 0.10 ± 0.07 | 172.52±100.83d | 0.29±0.20c | 0.36±0.24c |
| >20.01m | 26 | 20.31±0.44e | 14.10±1.56c | 6.72±2.59c | 0.13±0.11 | 344.09±137.80e | 0.40±0.22d | 0.52±0.32d |
| Grand mean | 640 | 14.56 ± 3.70 | 11.37±2.57 | 8.16±3.32 | 0.08 ± 0.07 | 117.80±108.51 | 0.17 ± 0.18 | 0.23±0.22 |
| Grand Total | | - | - | - | 48.15 | 75394.97 | 110.93 | 147.69 |

Note: Permanent Sample Plot (PSP 1-3), Temporary Sample Plot (TSP 4-7), Figure with the same alphabet in the same column are not significant different from each other. P>0.05

However the analysis of variance showed that the effects of plot location, girth class and height class had significant influence on accessed variables: Total height, Merchantable height, Crown height, Diameter at breast height, Diameter at base, Crown height, Form factor and tree volume both within and between sample plots on growth variables of *Tectonagrandis* plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve at p<0.05 (Table 4).The results indicated that the Models generated for tree volume estimation in Agudu Forest Reserve had highly significant coefficient of determination (R^2) at (p<0.05) as could be observed from the selected prediction models (Table 5).Comparing all the models tried in this study using the fit statistics, model 12:

| Sources of variation | Tota | Heigh | nt (m) | C H | lear B [eight(| ole (m) | Me H | rchant eight (1 | able m) | New | ton vo (m ³) | olume | For | rm Fa (Ff) | ctor |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------|------|---------------|--------|
| | Df | F | Sig. | Df | F | Sig | Df | F | Sig | Df | F | Sig. | Df | F | Sig. |
| Plot | 6 | 13.65 | .00** | 6 | 89.94 | 0.00** | 6 | 14.760 | 0.00** | 6 | 8.39 | 0.00** | 6 | 8.25 | 0.00** |
| Girthclass | 5 | 10.31 | .00** | 5 | 9.47 | 0.00** | 5 | 2.160 | 0.06ns | 5 | 161.4 | 0.00** | 5 | 15.71 | 0.00** |
| Heightclass | 5 | 194.78 | .00** | 5 | 3.37 | 0.01** | 4 | 7.476 | 0.00** | 5 | 12.79 | 0.00** | 5 | 1.75 | 0.12ns |
| plot * Girthclass | 30 | 3.09 | .00** | 30 | 1.18 | 0.24ns | 24 | 1.541 | 0.05** | 30 | 4.60 | 0.00** | 30 | 3.68 | 0.00** |
| plot * Heightclass | 17 | 14.59 | .00** | 17 | 0.68 | 0.83ns | 8 | 2.092 | 0.04** | 17 | 1.59 | 0.06ns | 17 | 3.93 | 0.00** |
| Girthclass * Heightclass | 15 | 3.09 | .00** | 15 | 0.77 | 0.73ns | 9 | 0.499 | 0.88ns | 15 | 2.73 | 0.00** | 15 | 2.69 | 0.00** |
| plot * Girthclass * Heightclass | 25 | 2.35 | .00** | 23 | 1.01 | 0.45ns | 11 | 0.62 | 0.81ns | 25 | 1.91 | 0.01** | 25 | 3.39 | 0.00** |
| Error | 536 | | | 531 | | | 327 | | | 536 | | | 536 | | |
| Total | 640 | | | 633 | | | 397 | | | 640 | | | 640 | | |
| \mathbf{R}^2 | 0.928 | | | 0.806 | | | 0.866 | | | 0.883 | | | 0.46 | | |

Table4. Analysis of variance for measured parameters of Tectonagrandisat Agudu forest

Note:**= highly significant at 1% probability level, * = significant at p<0.05, ns = not significant

lnNV = -0.77 + 8.08DM + 0.81lnBA + 0.84FF....Eq12

Which had diameter at the middle and Basal area and form factor as the independent variables which had ($R^2 = 94.2$, R^2 –Adjusted = 94.2, SEE = 0.28, f-ratio = 3448.0, Double Watson value =1.61, sig. =0.000**, with a

negative intercept of -0.77) is the most appropriate yield model(Table 5). In other words, diameter at the middle is the best predictor of *Tectonagrandis* stem volume among all the tested predictor models. For

predicting tree volume of Teak in Agudu Forest Reserve, the 8 ranked models are considered fit because they all meet the basic requirement of a good fit mode having negative intercept whereas the rest models with positive intercept may be discarded(Table 5). This is in line with the report by Avery and Burkhart (2002) who opined that tree volume prediction usually gives negative intercept. The model prediction and selection was done in compliance with Aigbe and Omokhua (2014) in modeling diameter distribution of the tropical rainforest in Oban Forest Reserve. It is also in agreement with the method used by Akinyemi, *et al.*, (2012) in Evaluating Crown-diameter prediction models for *Terminaliasuperba* in Onigambari Forest Reserve, Nigeria.

| s/n | Equations | Ν | R ² | R ² (adjusted) | SEE | F | Sig. | Double Watson | Model Rank |
|-------------|--|------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 6 | logNV = -1.02 + 1.36logTH + 1.53logDbh + (-0.07FF | 640 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 0.14 | 2385.0 | 0.000×× | 1.62 | 3 |
| 7 | lnNV = -9.87+ 10.17lnTDia + 1.69lnBD + 0.46FF | 640 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 0.33 | 2387.0 | 0.000×× | 1.59 | 5 |
| 9 | lnNV = 1.19 + 0.18lnCH + 1.92lnDBH | 640 | 86.8 | 86.8 | 0.42 | 2103.0 | 0.000×× | 1.66 | X |
| 10 | lnNV = 2.75 + 0.78lnDbh + 1.31lnDM | 640 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 0.38 | 2638.0 | 0.000×× | 1.67 | X |
| 11 | lnNV = 0.58 + 1.89lnDbh + 3.13lnDT + 1.50Fq | 640 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 0.38 | 1777.0 | 0.000×× | 1.68 | X |
| 12 | lnNV = -0.77 + 8.08DM + 0.81lnBA + 0.84FF | 640 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 0.28 | 3448.0 | 0.000×× | 1.61 | 1 |
| 13 | lnNV = -2.43 + 1.52lnDbh + 1.35lnTH | 640 | 91.8 | 91.7 | 0.33 | 3548.0 | 0.000×× | 1.61 | 4 |
| 14 | lnNV = -10.43+ (-0.00)lnCArea + 3.25lnTH | 640 | 60.4 | 60.2 | 0.73 | 485.03 | 0.000×× | 1.68 | 8 |
| 15 | lnNV = -8.40 + 1.81lnTH + 0.03BG | 640 | 89.6 | 89.5 | 0.37 | 2735.0 | 0.000 ^{××} | 1.79 | 6 |
| 16 | lnNV = -4.88 + 0.17lnCArea + 14.89DBH | 640 | 80.7 | 80.7 | 0.51 | 1336.0 | 0.000×× | 1.77 | 7 |
| 17 | lnNV = -1.22 + 0.65lnBA + 11.22DM | 640 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 0.31 | 4437.0 | 0.000×× | 1.63 | 2 |
| 18 | $\ln NV = 2.91 + 2.06\ln MD + 0.02DT$ | 640 | 87.4 | 87.3 | 0.41 | 2200.0 | 0.000×× | 1.68 | X |
| 19 | lnNV = 2.10 + 1.97lnDBH+ (-0.11)FF | 640 | 84.3 | 84.2 | 0.46 | 1709.0 | 0.000×× | 1.55 | X |
| 20 | logNV = 0.73 + 1.69lnMdia + 2.01BArea | 640 | 91.6 | 91.6 | 0.15 | 3488.0 | 0.000** | 1.78 | X |
| 21 | NV = 0.54 + 0.44lnMdia + 1.91BArea | 640 | 84.6 | 84.6 | 0.09 | 1751.0 | 0.000** | 1.36 | X |
| Dbh TU - | = Diameter at breast height, $BG = Ba$ | asal girtl | h, DM | I = Diamete | er at m | iddle, DT | = Diame | eter at top, | |

 Table5. Models generated for tree volume estimation in Agudu Forest Reserve

Dbh = Diameter at breast height, BG = Basal girth, DM = Diameter at middle, DT = Diameter at top, TH = Total height, BA = Basal area, CA = Crown area, NV = Newton's volume, FF = form factor, Fq = form quotient, CH= Crown Height, x = discarded models for having positive intercept

The good fit models obtained may be attributed to the better tree growth variables obtained from the plots as indicated in Table 2 &3, which may also be related to site factors. This is in conformity with Brandel, (1990), who discovered that site determines the fit ability of tree volume functions, similar trend was observed in the studies carried out byEgbewole (2017) in his work on 'Application of Tree Growth Models for Inventory of Plantationgrown Tectonagrandis in Agudu Forest Reserve, Nasarawa, Nigeria', this is similar to Aigbe and Omokhua, (2014) in Oban Forest Reserve, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria. The trend is

also in accordance with Ige and Erhabor, (2013) on Crown-Diameter Prediction Models for *TriplochitonScleroxylon* in Onigambari Forest Reserve, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Estimated Tree Stump Volume of Felled Tectonagrandis Trees in Agudu Forest Reserve

It was observed that a total of 250 stump of felled trees on the 7plots of sizes 30m by 30m. Permanent Sample Plot 1 (PSP1) had 46 stumps of felled trees, Permanent Sample Plot 2 (PSP2) 42 stumps, Permanent Sample Plot 3 (PSP3) had 29 stumps. While Temporary Sample Plot 4

(PSP4) had 26 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 5 (PSP5) had 20 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 6 (PSP6) had 33 stumps, Temporary Sample Plot 7 (PSP7) had 26 stumps of felled trees (Table 1). The result revealed that the average stump girth (SG) was 30.54cm; TSP 4 had the highest SG of 34.15cm while TSP 6 had the least SG of 25.18cm. The highest SG of 117.67cm was observed in >111cm girth class. While the least SG of 31.50cm was observed in <30cm Girth class. The mean Stump height was 30.54cm. mean Stump Diameter (SD) was 0.23m, 0.09m² Stump Basal area, the estimated mean tree height was 14.34m while the average Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) was 0.62m³, PSP 3 had the highest average Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) of 0.62m³ while TSP 5 had the least mean Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) of 0.44m³. The Total Stump Basal area for the whole 250 harvested stumps was $21.44m^2$ on the 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to Total Stump Basal area of 34.03m^2 /ha while the Total Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) was 154.18m³ on 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to 244.73m³/ha.(Table 6& 7). Based on 'International prices for teak: Historical and current, and price forecasts' by Guillermo et al,. (2009), the World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at 1221.31USD/m³ at $\frac{N}{355}$ /USD. will $(N433,565.1/m^3x)$ this amount to 244.73m³/ha 161.28ha) x the sum of N17,112,838.083.00 equivalent to a total loss of about (48,205,177.70USD) of felled Tectonagrandis at 2018 year ending.

| PLOT | Stumps | StumpHeight | Stump girth | SumpDiamete | Stump | Stump | Mean tree | (Felled |
|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | _ | (cm) | (cm) | r(m) | Vol(m ³) | Basal | height/plot(| trees)Estimated |
| | | | | | | area(m ²) | m) | StumpVolume(m |
| - | | | | | | | | 3) |
| (PSP 1) | 46 | 30.15±12.67a | 68.17±19.17bc | 0.22±0.06a | 0.01±0.01a | 0.06±0.04b | 15.73±1.84b | 0.63±0.34bc |
| | | bc | | | | | | |
| (PSP 2) | 42 | 34.05±11.33a | 76.62±14.91a | 0.24±0.05c | 0.01±0.01a | 0.01±0.04a | 15.04±0.97c | 0.73±0.27ab |
| (TSP 3) | 29 | 32.93±11.87a | 73.07±18.87ab | 0.23±0.06b | 0.02±0.01b | 0.09±0.05d | 16.96±1.46a | 0.77±0.40a |
| (TSP 4) | 26 | 34.15±13.09a | 75.08±21.45ab | 0.24±0.68c | 0.02±0.01b | 0.01±0.05a | 14.98±1.81d | 0.72±0.37ab |
| (TSP 5) | 20 | $33.05 \pm 8.93 ab$ | 60.15±19.13c | 0.19±0.06d | 0.01±0.01a | $0.06 \pm 0.04 b$ | $14.09{\pm}1.98e$ | 0.44±0.29d |
| (TSP 6) | 33 | 25.18±12.72c | 69.88±15.49ab | 0.22±0.05a | 0.01±0.01a | 0.08±0.03c | $12.87 \pm 1.57 f$ | 0.52±0.20cd |
| (TSP 7) | 54 | 27.48±9.17bc | 71.89±14.92ab | 0.23±0.47b | 0.01±0.01a | 0.09±0.03d | 11.91±1.21g | 0.51±0.19d |
| Grand | - | 30.54±11.77 | 71.26±17.73 | 0.023±0.06 | 0.01±0.01 | 0.09±0.31 | 14.34±1.58 | 0.62±0.39 |
| Mean | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 250 | - | - | - | - | 21.44 | | 154.18 |
| Total | | | | | | | | |
| | Girth (| lass | | | | | | |
| <3m | 4 | 29.75±7.14 | 31.50±20.98 | 0.10±0.07 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.02±0.03 | 10.88±0.00 | 0.11±0.15 |
| 3.01- | 29 | 29.67±12.43 | 46.83±11.01 | 0.15±0.04 | 0.01 ± 0.00 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 11.16±0.00 | 0.26±0.15 |
| 5m | | | | | | | | |
| 5.01- | 87 | 30.26±12.26 | 63.57±9.64 | 0.20±0.03 | 0.01 ± 0.00 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 13.53±0.00 | 0.57±0.16 |
| 10m | | | | | | | | |
| 10.01 - | 100 | $29.88{\pm}11.49$ | 78.89±7.43 | 0.25 ± 0.02 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.01 ± 0.02 | 14.41 ± 0.00 | 0.92±0.17 |
| 15m | | | | | | | | |
| 15.01 - | 27 | 34.89±11.11 | 94.74±12.57 | 0.30±04 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.15±0.03 | 16.66±0.00 | 1.35 ± 0.32 |
| 20m | | | | | | | | |
| >20.01 | 3 | 31.54±11.77 | 117.67±3.21 | 0.37±0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.22 ± 0.02 | 17.67±0.00 | 2.07±0.11 |
| m | | | | | | | | |
| Grand | - | 30.54±1.77 | 71.26±17.73 | 0.23±0.06 | 0.01±0.01 | 0.09±0.04 | 14.52±1.58 | 0.77±0.39 |
| Mean | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 250 | - | - | - | - | 21.44 | - | 154.18 |
| Total | | | | | | | | |

Table6. Mean value of variable measured on felled tree stumps on the bases of sample plots and Girth classes

Note: Figure with the same alphabet in the same column is not significantly different from each other. P>0.05 **Table7.** Quantitative summary of Timber stock in a 161.28ha Agudu Forest Reserve and its cost implication

| | Ν | Sampled | Estimated | Estimated | World Market | Nairaequivalent of | Dollar |
|----------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Plot(ha.) | tree/stump | tree/stump | Price of Teak as | stock/felled trees | equivalent of |
| | | | Volume (m ³) | Volume | at 2018(USD/m ³) | (N) | stock/felled trees |
| | | | | (m ³ /ha) | | | (USD) |
| Standing | 640 | 0.63 | 147.69 | 234.43 | 1221.31 | 16,392,606,676 | 46,176,357USD |
| trees | | | | | | | |

| Stump | 250 | 0.63 | 154.18 | 244.73 | 1221.31 | 17,112,838.083 | 48,205,177.7USD |
|---------|-----|------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| (felled | | | | | | | |
| trees) | | | | | | | |

Note: World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at 1221.31USD/m³ at N355/USD,

Models Generated for Felled Tree (Stumps) Volume Estimation in Agudu Forest Reserve

The results indicated that the Models generated for felled tree stump volume estimation in Agudu Forest Reserve had highly significant coefficient of determination (R^2) at (p<0.05) as could be observed from the selected prediction models (Table 8). Comparing all the models tried in this study using the fit statistics, model 23,

| ESV = -0.26 - 1.71BD + 11.38BAs + 0.03MeanTHpEq | q23 |
|---|-----|
|---|-----|

| Table8. Models Equation gener | ated for estimation | the stump volume | of felled Teak in | Agudu Forest |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|

| s/n | Equations | Stumps | \mathbf{R}^2 | \mathbf{R}^2 | SEE | F | Sig | Double |
|-----|---|------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | | (adjusted) | | | | atson |
| 22 | ESV = -0.30 + 9.25BAs + | 250 | 99.66 | 99.65 | 0.02 | 35822.68 | 0.000** | 1.69 |
| | 0.016MeanTHp | | | | | | | |
| 23* | ESV = -0.26 - 1.71BD + | 250 | <i>99.80</i> | 99.80 | 0.02 | 41386.74 | 0.000** | 1.55 |
| | 11.38BAs + 0.03MeanTHp | | | | | | | |
| 24 | logESV = -2.19 + 10.13BD - | 250 | 99.17 | 99.16 | 0.03 | 9855.72 | 0.000** | 1.62 |
| | 8.25BAs + 0.02MeanTHp | | | | | | | |
| 25 | LnESV = -5.06 + 23.33BD - | 250 | 99.17 | 99.16 | 0.06 | 9855.72 | 0.000** | 1.62 |
| | 19.0BAs + 0.06MeanTHp | | | | | | | |
| 26 | LnESV = 1.54 + 4.66LogBD - | 250 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 0.01 | 903738.9 | 0.000** | 1.62 |
| | 0.21BAs + 0.06MeanTHp | | | | | | | |
| MH | b = Mean Height/plot, BD = Basal | girth, BAs | = Basal | area, $ESV = 1$ | Estimat | ed Stump vo | lume (Hun | nbers) |

Which hadbasal diameter (BD), Basal area and mean Tree Height as the independent variables which had $(R^2 = 99.80, R^2 - Adjusted = 99.65,$ SEE = 0.02, f-ratio = 41386.74, Double Watson value =1.55, sig. =0.000**, with a negative intercept of -0.26) is the most appropriate prediction model (Table 8). Diameter at the middle is the best predictor of Tectonagrandis stem volume among all the tested predictor models, though stump volume is to be estimated here. For predicting tree stump volume of Teak in Agudu Forest Reserve, the 5 ranked models are considered fit because they all meet the basic requirement of a good fit model having negative intercept whereas the rest model with positive intercept may be discarded. This is in line with the report by Avery and Burkhart (2002) that tree volume prediction usually gives negative intercept.

However, these models can be applied in determining the volume of Teak trees volume of felled Teak stumps in these locations based on the statistical significance and closeness of the fit statistics of the 7 plot models. This suggests that any of these variables can be substituted for one another as a predictor of stem volume. Similar results have been reported in tropical rain forest area of Nigeria for plantation-grown *Tectonagrandis* by (Osho, 1983) and in *Gmelinaarborea* (Akindele, 2003). It is also in

line with Borokini, et al., (2013) on Inventory Analysis of Miliciaexcelsa in Ibadan, Nigeria. The linear relationships betweenMerchantable height, form factor, Crown height, Crown diameter, and stem volume (V) did not depict a reasonable pattern as indicated by the low coefficient of determination (R^2) . In Agudu Teak Plantation, most of the 15 model prediction equations generated have negative intercepts. This is as a result of the logarithmic transformation of the variables utilized in the models, thereby leading to value reduction visà-vis tilting of the variable values towards negativity (Schumacher & Hall, 1933). This is also in line with the report by Avery and Burkhart (2002) that tree volume prediction usually gives negative intercept. The standard error of estimate (SEE), which measures the overall predictive value of regression models (Adekunle, et al., 2004), and which is a common measure of goodness of fit in nonlinear regression models (Ige and Erhabor, (2013), with low value indicates better fit.

Reasons and Purposes for cutting Teak trees in Agudu Forest Reserve

The results of the Reasons and Purposes for cutting Teak trees in Agudu Forest Reserve showed that 52.73% of the respondents do harvest the trees for sales to earn income,

20.34% do cut down the teak trees to reduce shade on their farmland, while the remaining 16.36% of them do cut down the trees to reclaim the reserved forest land from Government and turn it back to their arable farmland. The results of the Purposes for cutting Teak trees showed that 28.46% of the respondents do harvest the trees for sales as transmission poles to earn income, 18.46% do use the trees cut as roofing members, 17.69% do use the cut trees for fuel/firewood for sale, 10.77% do cut tree branches for leaf harvesting for preservation and rapping food/ items, 15.38% do cut tree branches for staking yam/beans and other climbing crops, while the remaining 9.23% of them do use the trees cut as fencing poles(Table 9, Plate 3, 4& 7).Poverty was observed to be a major threat to the existence of Teakplantation in Agudu. Acute shortage of firewood continues to adversely affect families which rely on firewood for cooking. Most families are now lamenting the rising cost of firewood which has become a scarce commodity due to the indiscriminate felling of trees and deforestation of the Forest Reserve.

Table9. Reasons and Purposes for cutting Teak trees in Agudu Forest Reserve

| s/n | Item | Frequency | Percentage(%) |
|-----|--|-----------|---------------|
| | | | |
| а | Reasons for cutting Teak trees | | |
| 1 | Harvest the trees for sales to earn income | 72 | 68.42 |
| 2 | Cut down the teak trees to reduce shade on their farmland | 35 | 20.34 |
| 3 | Cut down the trees to reclaim the reserved forest land from Government | 23 | 11.24 |
| | and turn it back to their arable farmland | | |
| | Sub total | 130 | 100.00 |
| b | Purposes for cutting Teak trees | | |
| 1 | Harvest trees for sales as transmission poles | 37 | 28.46 |
| 2 | Use the trees cut as roofing members | 24 | 18.46 |
| 3 | For fuel/firewood for sale | 23 | 17.69 |
| 4 | Cut tree branches for leaf harvesting for preservation and rapping food/ | 14 | 10.77 |
| | items | | |
| 5 | Cut tree branches for staking yam/beans and other climbing crops | 20 | 15.38 |
| 6 | Use the trees cut as fencing poles | 12 | 9.23 |
| | Sub total | 130 | 100.00 |

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project was carried out to evaluate the use of Forest Inventory in Estimating Illegally Felled Trees of Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve, Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. It also drew conclusion equivalent to the volume estimated for each tree per plot and for each plot mean for exploitation. In order to achieve sustainability in forest management, Palmer and Synnott (1991) identified that there should be: Adequate information about the forest stock, Security of land use, Suitable financial and political environment.It is known fact that the stand volume equations, which incorporated various tree growth variables, will enhance future yield prediction of the study area since they provide quantitative basis for estimating stand growth parameters. For instance, those growth variables that have very strong relationships, depicted by their high coefficient of determinations (\mathbf{R}^2) and low standard error of estimate (SEE) with tree stem volume could be regarded as important determinants to be considered in stand volume assessment in Agudu Forest Reserve. The models generated were screened, those having negative intercepts are adequate and are recommended for stand volume assessment in the study area. The volume prediction equations provide the means through which the production potential of both the existing stands and illegally felled Trees of Tectonagrandis can be estimated in the study area. It is believed that the equations obtained in this study will enhance sound and informed management decisions and conservation measures for the remaining stands. Also, It is concluded that there was linear relationship existing between the growth of Teak (Tectonalgrandis) and the selected parameters used to estimate the volume of the trees.

• The Estimated tree Volume (ETvol) was $147.69m^3$ on 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to $234.43m^3$ /ha. If the World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at $1221.31USD/m^3$ at N355/USD, this will amount to the sum of N16,392,606,676.00 equivalent to a total value of about

(46,176,357USD) of standing trees of *Tectonagrandis* at 2018 year ending.

• While, the Total Estimated Stump Volume (ESvol) was 154.18m³ on 0.63ha sampled plots, this will amount to 244.73m³/ha. (Table 6). Based on 'International prices for teak: Historical and current, and price forecasts' by Guillermo *et al.* (2009), the World Market Price of Teak as at 2018 is put at 1221.31USD/m³ at N355/USD, this will amount to (N433,565.1/m³x 244.73m³/ha x 161.28ha) the sum of N17,112,838.083.00 equivalent to a total loss of about (48,205,177.70USD) of felled *Tectonagrandis* at 2018 year ending.

It is recommended that forest inventory should be done at a regular interval in order to be able to manage, maintain and to know the growth rate of the plantation maybe is due for harvest or not.Also to be able to ascertain the extent of damages caused by human activities such as fuel wood fetching, leaves and herbs collection, hunting that usually leads tobush burning, in and around Agudu Forest Reserve areas as the case of human interference is pronounced in the area. If this is done, it will enable sustainable management, maintenance of the plantation. Furthermore, comprehensive study in this area is recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to the Students of the Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Ecotourism, and the Management of the Faculty of Agriculture, Nasarawa State University Keffi, TheVillage Head of Agudu and the good people in and around Agudu Forest Reserve areasfor providing a conducive environment to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

- Adekunle, V. A. J., Akindele, S. O., &Fuwape, J. A. (2004): Structure and yield model stropical lowland rainforest ecosystem of southwest Nigeria. Food, Agriculture and Environment, 2(2), pp395-399.
- [2] Adekunle, V. A. J. (2005): Trends in Forest Reservation and Biodiversity conservation in Nigeria. In Okolo, E, Adekunle, V., &Adeduntan, S. (eds). Environmental Sustainabilityand conservation in Nigeria. Environmental Conservation Research team (ECRT). JubeeNiyi Publishers.pp82-89.
- [3] Adesoye P. O. (2004): Practical guide to Statistical analysis for scientists. Publ. DEBO PRINTS ISBN 978-37965-0-X. p108-112.

- [4] Afuwape M.A., Egbewole Z.T., Ogunleye M.B., Akinyemi O. and Fagbenro J. A. (2007): Growth and yield estimates of *Pinuscaribea* (Morelet) stands in Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN) Arboretum, Ibadan. *Bowen Journal of Agriculture*.Vol.4 (1). ISSN- 1597-2836-, March 2007. pp22-34.
- [5] Akindele S. O. (2004): Basic designs in agricultural research. Publ. Royal Bird Ventures, Mushin Lagos Nigeria.ISBN 978-32973-8-4.pp136-153.
- [6] Akinyemi, G.O., Ige, P.O. and Smith, A.S. (2012): Evaluating Crown-Diameter PredictionModels for *Terminaliasuperba* (Engl. & Diels) in Onigambari Forest Reserve,Nigeria. *Journal of Forestry Research and Management*.Vol.9, 1425; 2012.ISSN:0189-8418.
- [7] Aigbe, H. I. and Omokhua, G. E. (2014): Moedelling diameter distribution of the tropical rainforest in Oban Forest Reserve. *Journal of Environment and Ecology*.ISSN 2157-6092 2014, vol. 5, No. 2.pp130-143. Online: www.macrothink.org/jee
- [8] Alamu, L. O. and Agbeja, B. O. (2011): Deforestation and endangered indigenous tree species in South-West Nigeria.*International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*. Vol. 3(7), pp. 291-297.
- [9] Alao, J.S. (2009): Challenges of Forestry Management in Nasarawa state, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture & Social Sciences* (J. Agri. Soc. Sci.), ISSN: 1813–2235;
- [10] Avery, T.E. and Burkhart, H.E. (2002): Forest Measurements. 5th Ed. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York. Pp 196-229.
- [11] Baumer, M. (1990): Agroforestry and Desertification. The Netherlands. Technical center for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation. 250p.
- Borokini, T. I., Onefeli, A. O. and Babalola, F. D. (2013): Inventory Analysis of *Milicia excels* (Welw C. C. Berg.) in Ibadan (Ibadan Metropolis and University of Ibadan), Nigeria. *Journal of Plant Studies*; ISSN 1927-0461 E, ISSN 1927047X , Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education. Vol. 2, No. 1; pp97-109.
- [13] Egbewole, Z. T., Ogunsanwo, O. Y. and Omole, A. O. (2011): Technical Efficiency of Lumber Recovery From High Forest Tree Species In Selected Sawmills Of Southwestern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 7(1):34-41.
- [14] EgbewoleZ. T and Alao J. S. (2013): Tree growth variable of *Tectonagrandis* in Agudu Forest Reserve and its contributions to rural livelihood. ISSN: 0794-5213.Online:www.

Patnsujournal.net/currenttissue.Pat June, 2013; 9 (1): 59-72.

- [15] Egbewole, Z. T. (2017): Application of Tree Growth Models for Inventory of Plantation– grown *Tectonagrandis* (Linn. f.) inAgudu Forest Reserve, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.*International Journal of Applied Research and Technology*. 6(8): 91 – 100.
- [16] Grosenbaugh, L.R. (1952): Shortcut for Cruisers and Scalars. US Forest Service, Southern Forest Expt. Sta. Occas. Paper 126. 24p.
- [17] Guillermo A. Navarro Rodolfo J. Vieto Morales (2009): International prices for teak: Historical and current, and price forecasts. - 1^a ed. - Turrialba, C.R. : CATIE, 2009.il. -(Technical series Technical bulletin / CATIE; no. 93). See discussions, stats,and author profiles for this publicationat: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3137 50729.Book · January 2009.pp 44.
- [18] Husch, B. T, T. W. Beers, and J. A. Kersaw (2003): Forest Measuration, 4th Ed. John Wiley &, Hoboken, NJ. pg. 150-160
- [19] Ige, P. O. and Erhabor, L. O. (2013): Crown-Diameter Prediction Models for *Triplochitonscleroxylon* (K.Schum) in Onigambari Forest Reserve, Oyo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Research and Technology*. 2(2): 62 – 69.
- [20] Ikuomola, A. D., Okunola, R. A. and Akindutire, A. F. (2016): Criminality: Illegal Logging of Woods in Nigeria's South-West Forest Belt. *African Journal of Criminology* and Justice Studies: AJCJS, Vol.9, (1). May 2016, ISSN 1554-3897, pp 141-153.
- [21] Kaosa-ard, A. (1993): Teak International Provenance Trails 1. Wood Production and Quality.In Proceedings 50th year of Huay Teak Plantation: Teak Seminar. 113-312 pp.
- [22] Mason, C. F. Bulte, E.H. Horan, R.D. (2012): Banking on Extinction: Endangered species and speculation, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, Volume 28, Number 1, pp180-181.
- [23] National Population Census, (1991): Publication of National Population Commission on Population Census (1991).
- [24] National Population Commission, (2012):
 Federal Office of Statistics, Abuja, Nigeria.
 OECD (2012) OECD Working Group on

Bribery: Annual Report 2011, Paris, OECD Publishing.

- [25] Ola-Adams, B. A. (1983):Effect of logging on Residual Stands of a Lowland Rainforest of Omo Forest Reserve, Nigeria. Paper presented at the 4th annual Conference of science Association of Nigeria, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.
- [26] Oluwalana, S. A. (2012): The effect of deforestation on human health. Proceedings of The Third Biennial National Conference of the Forest and Forest Products Society, held at the University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. 3-6 April 2012, pp16-23.
- [27] Onyekwelu ,J.C. (2003): Growth Characteristics and Management Scenarios for Plantation Grown *Gmelinaarborea* and *Naucleadedirrichi*in South-Western Nigeria. Verlag, Munich, 196p.
- [28] Osho, J. A. S. (1983): volume prediction from stump diameter for Teak (*Tectonagrandis* L.f.) in Onigambari Forest Reserve. Nigerian Journal of Forestry 13(1&2), pp53-56.
- [29] Pandey, D.(1997): A Simple Bole Model. Forest Chronicle. 59:136-138.
- [30] Patterson N, Oka for O, Williams D (2006): "Globalization and employment Generation" Evaluating the impact of trade on Aggregate employment in Nigeria's In Industrial Sector" NES 2006 Annual Conference Nigeria.
- [31] Pretty, J. Wood C, Hine, R., and Barton, J. (2013): Nature for rehabilitating offenders andfacilitating therapeutic outcomes for youth at risk. In South N and Brisman A (eds) The Routledge International Handbook of Green Criminology: 184-196. London: Routledge.
- [32] Schumacher, F. X. and Hall, F. S. (1933): Logarithmic expression of timber-tree, Journal of Agricultural Research. 47(9), pp719-734.
- [33] South, N. and Wyatt, T. (2011): 'Comparing the Illicit Trades in Wildlife and Drugs: An exploratory study', Deviant Behaviour 32(6): pp538-561.
- [34] Tewar, D.N. (1992): A Monograph on Teak. International book distribution.pp72-102.
- [35] Lange and Stenberg (1990): Vancouver, BC: Forestry Canada, pp181-185.
- [36] UNEP (1992): World Atlas of desertification; Edward Arnold, U.K. p.18.www.codel-lb.org p. 3.www.medioambiente.gov p.11

Citation: Egbewole Z. T,Rotowa O.J.,Alo A. A., Ojo A.S., Oluwasanmi T. D., Enenche J. A. Oluwaseesin, M. B., "The Use of Forest Inventory in Estimating Illegally Felled Trees of Tectonagrandis Plantation in Agudu Forest Reserve,Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria", International Journal of Research Studies in Science, Engineering and Technology, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 1-14, 2019.

Copyright: © 2019 Egbewole Z. T, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.